## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Shaun Kinney	The second secon
	CASE NO. C10-00162JW (PVT)
Plainuff(s),	ı
V. CITY OF SANTA CLARA, a California Municipal Corporation	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
/	
Counsel report that they have thet and corfollowing scipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following s	IDR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.  Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	R. 4) (ADR L.R. 5)
(Note: Parties who believe that an early senteme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16	any other form of ADR, must participate in an m. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proc	ess and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:  the presumptive deadline (The decretering the case to an ADR process.)	adline is 90 days from the dute of the order cess unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 3/25/10	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dared: 3/25/18	Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## PROPOSED ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated:** April 9, 2010

Wororable James Ware

DITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE